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Abstract. This research aims to analyze the impact of the work environment and compensation on employee performance partially and together. The sampling technique uses random sampling, and data collection techniques in this research use a questionnaire. Instrument testing techniques in this study are the validity and reliability test, while the data analysis technique uses the classical assumption test, multiple linear regression analysis, and descriptive analysis. The results showed that employee performance. Partially the work environment has no impact on employee performance while compensation has an impact on performance.
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1 Introduction

Human resources as the main force to be able to make an organization become more developed, so that every organization or company is required to always pay attention to these aspects. Direct compensation is compensation directly received by employees consisting of salaries, transportation money, holiday allowances, overtime payments and other direct benefits. Indirect compensation is compensation that is not directly received by employees which consists of promotion of positions, insurance, benefits, and mutations (Hasibuan, 2010: 118). charged.

2 Research Methods

In this preparation the authors use a type of quantitative research, a method that emphasizes aspects of measurement objectively of social phenomena according to Siregar (2014: 130) data collection methods used in this study are as the research objects that support the the condition of the research object is compensation for employee performance.

3 Results and Analysis

Respondents based on Gender, it is known that the number of male respondents is 24 people
or 48% while the rest are women 26 people or 52%. Respondents based on Age, it is known that the number of respondents aged 18-25 years is as many as 15 people or 30%, aged 26-33 years as many as 25 people or 50% and the remaining age of 34-45 years as many as 10 people or 20%. Respondents based on Education, it can be seen that the number of respondents with high school / vocational education is 40 people or 80% and S1 education is only 10 people or 20%. Respondents based on Years of Service, it is known that the number of respondents with a working period of less than 6 months is 5 people or 10%, 1-2 years working period of 25 people or 50% and the remaining 2-5 years working period of 20 people or 40%. The work environment (X1) obtained the value of $r_{table} = 0.2353$, if the value of $r_{count} = 0.300$ compared to $r_{table}$, can be declared valid, because the value of $r_{count}$ more than $r_{table}$. Compensation (X2) obtained the value of $r_{table} = 0.2353$, if the value of $r_{count} = 0.249$ compared to $r_{table}$, can be declared valid, because the value of $r_{count}$ more than $r_{table}$. The alpha value of the calculation of the reliability variable compensation test is $0.774 > 0.600$, the Work Discipline variable is $0.655 > 0.600$, the Employee Performance variable is $0.684 > 0.600$ so the research instrument is declared reliable and can be used. The normality used in this study is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov OneSample Test, where the residual value is normally distributed if the sig value more than 0.05. The output results from normality testing with Kolmogorov-Smirnov obtained sig = 0.200 more than 0.05, meaning that the Unstandardized table is normally distributed. In the multicollinearity test it is seen that each explanatory variable has a tolerance value more than 0.1 and a VIF value less than 10. So there is no multicollinearity between explanatory variables in this regression model. In the heteroscedasticity test showed explanatory variables have sig more than 0.05. So the regression model does not contain heteroscedasticity. The multiple regression equation based on the analysis results can be found as follows: $Y = 6.415 + 0.402 X1 + 0.370 X2$. Based on the F test, a Sig value of 0.000 ($p < 0.05$) is obtained, meaning that the Work Environment (X1) and Compensation (X2) variables significantly influence jointly on Performance. The Sig value for the Work Environment (X1) on employee performance (Y) is 0.003 <0.05 and the t value is $3.153 > t_{table} 2.011$, so it can be concluded that H1 is accepted which means there is an impact of X1 on Y. 2. It is known that the Sig value for the impact of Compensation (X2) on Employee Performance (Y) is equal to 0.017 < 0.05 and the value of t arithmetic $2.470 > t_{table} 2.011$, so it can concluded that H2 is accepted which means there is an impact of Work Discipline (X2) on Employee Performance (Y). Based on the data obtained it is known that the significance value for the impact of the Work Environment (X1) and Compensation (X2) Simultaneously on Employee Performance (Y) is equal to 0.000 < 0.05 and the calculated F value of $23.264 > 3.19$, so it can be concluded that H3 accepted which means there is an impact of Work Environment (X1) and Compensation (X2) simultaneously on employee performance (Y). The coefficient of determination results obtained by 0.497. This indicates that 49.7% of work environment and compensation affect employee performance while the remaining 50.3% of employee performance is affected by other factors not examined in this research.

5 Conclusion

a) Results Hypothesis testing has proven that the Work Environment has a positive impact on employee performance. This means that there is a partial impact between the Compensation on employee performance.

b) Hypothesis testing results have proven there is a correlation between Compensation on employee performance. This means that partially there is an correlation between the Work
Discipline on employee performance. Based on the results of the calculation of the Work Environment and Compensation the hypothesis is that each change / increase jointly between the compensation and Work Discipline affects the level of employee performance.
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